

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Preliminary remarks. The path of research on the nomophylactic role of the Constitutional Court</i>	XIX
--	-----

CHAPTER I

The Constitutional review, between the Constitutional Court and judges

1. A promising framework for jurisprudential creation: historical and ideological origins of decentralized judicial review	1
2. The centralized model of constitutional review, between the primacy of the Constitution, privilege of parliamentary will, and aversion to judges	8
3. The archetypes of constitutional review in Italy and the ideologies of the Constituent	15
4. The hybrid nature of Italian constitutional review: the difficult positioning within models	28
5. The structure of constitutional provisions and the role of the interpreter	37
5.1. Two models of Constitution, two different systems of Constitutional justice	37
5.2. Values, principles, and rules	40
5.3. <i>Open texture</i> structure of Constitutional provisions and judicial discretion	46

CHAPTER II

From centralized review to the diffusion of control

SECTION I

THE EVOLUTION OF RELATIONS WITH THE JUDICIARY	57
---	----

1. From the Constitution to the Constitutional Court (1948-1956): control over laws to the judiciary	57
2. Judgment No. 1/1956: continuity with the previous legal system and basis for consistent interpretation	61

- | | |
|---|----|
| 3. The Constitutional Court and the judiciary until 1996 | 66 |
| 3.1. The interpretative monopoly of the Italian Constitutional Court
and the first conflict with the Supreme Court | 76 |
| 3.2. A balancing point: living law | 76 |
| 4. From Judgment No. 356/1996 to... yesterday | 82 |

SECTION II

THE IMPACT OF EUROPEAN AND SUPRANATIONAL LAW ON THE CENTRALIZED
CONSTITUTIONAL REVIEW 100

- | | |
|---|-----|
| 1. The application of European law in the national law system and in-
terpretation in conformity | 100 |
| 2. The European preliminary ruling “before” the Constitutional Court | 112 |
| 3. The use of the EU Charter by the judiciary | 126 |
| 4. The European Convention on Human Rights and judges | 141 |

SECTION III

THE SPREAD OF CONSTITUTIONAL REVIEW: DEVIATIONS FROM THE ORIGINAL
SYSTEM, BETWEEN DOCTRINAL RECONSTRUCTIONS AND JUSTIFICATIONS.
THE COMMON JUDGE AT THE CROSSROADS OF PROTECTION MECHANISMS 151

CHAPTER III

Motions in the centripetal direction

SECTION I

THE RELAXATION OF THE OBLIGATION TO INTERPRET IN CONFORMITY
WITH THE CONSTITUTION: FROM “OBLIGATION OF RESULT” TO “REASONABLE
ATTEMPT” AND THE RETURN OF “SENTENZE INTERPRETATIVE DI RIGETTO” 171

SECTION II

JUDGMENT NO. 115/2018: THE RISKS OF LEGISLATION CHARACTERIZED
BY PRINCIPLES AND THE CENTRALITY OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT
IN PROTECTING INVIOLEABLE RIGHTS 190

- | | |
|---|-----|
| 1. Brief preliminary remarks | 190 |
| 2. The dialogue between the Italian Constitutional Court and the
Court of Justice | 190 |
| 3. The Constitutional Court silently closes the confrontation and en-
gages in a monologue to protect fundamental rights | 199 |

SECTION III

THE NEW RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN CONSTITUTIONAL AND EUROPEAN
PRELIMINARY RULINGS. INNOVATIVE DISTRIBUTION OF COMPETENCIES
FOR THE RESOLUTION OF AXIOLOGICAL ISSUES, ALTHOUGH STILL IN THE MAKING 210

1. The priority of constitutional instruments and the need for *erga omnes* ruling on fundamental rights: judgment No. 269/2017 210
2. The attempt to bring fundamental rights issues back within a circuit of constitutional law to limit the judges' potential in applying the EU Charter 217
3. The reactions of common and European case law. The potential of the new rule on double preliminary ruling and the widening of the possibilities of intervention by Constitutional Court 224
4. From a rule for principles to a rule of principles. The possible configuration of a *hierarchy* of fundamental rights? 232
5. Further consolidation, but also fluctuations and steps back of the "269 model" 242
6. Considerations on the repositioning of the Constitutional Court in the "jurisdictional triangle" after Ordinance No. 117/2019 256
 - 6.1. *Continued*: The non-oppositional use of the preliminary ruling of interpretation in the renovated centralized constitutional review: Ordinance No. 182/2020 268
 - 6.2. *Continued*: The two preliminary ruling orders on the European Arrest Warrant to increase the standard of protection of the rights of the Union and further systemic reflections 278

CHAPTER IV

The "nomophylactic" role of the Constitutional Court. Concluding remarks

1. The fundamental value of legal certainty in the Italian legal system *today* 289
2. The legitimacy of the Constitutional Court through the protection of rights 307
3. The creation of an alternative "nomophylachy" by the Constitutional Court 319
4. As epilogue. A new season of the centralized-collaborative system 331

Bibliography 341

Abstract 378